

A new paradigm for music education:  
Inspiring creativity in a post-COVID world  
Michael P. Fleischmann, Ed.D.C.T - [fleischm@mac.com](mailto:fleischm@mac.com)

CASMEC Virtual Conference  
February 19, 2021

## Supplemental Materials

- [View this as a google doc \[make a copy and save to your drive\] - https://bit.ly/3j0oreQ](https://bit.ly/3j0oreQ)
- [Shared Keynote Presentation](#)
- [Padlet #1 - Introduction](#)
- [Padlet #2 - Shared Lesson Ideas](#)
- [Padlet: Analysis & Debrief Template](#)
- [Lesson Plan Template](#)

## Introduction

What we may all have in common:

- Let's acknowledge that this year has not been ideal!
- We have all had to change *what* we teach and *how* we teach
- What sorts of things have we had success with?
- What *opportunities* have we had to teach things that we usually would not teach in a typical year?
- What can we take with us as a result of this year?
  - More individual assessment?
  - Creating music?
  - Chamber music?
  - New technology?
  - Priority of process over product?

Why this works/why focus on creativity?

- Research-Based - where students learn best
  - Learner-Centered approach

- [Social Constructivist approach](#)
- Problem-Solving & Problem-Finding
- Learning by doing
- Opportunities for peer collaboration
- Consider [Bloom's Taxonomy](#), and how we might engage our students in higher-order cognitive abilities
  - Deeper understanding
  - Problem-solving
  - Problem-finding
  - Grit - Willingness to fail
- In Bloom's - Conductors are usually leveraging the "Applying/Understanding" levels, but rarely use Creating/Evaluating [where deeper learning takes place]
- Another similar framework: [Webb's Depth of Knowledge](#)
  - Strive for level 3 & level 4

## How do we do this?

- Potential for [Social-Emotional Learning \(SEL\)](#) - connecting to students' personal experiences
- [Universal Design for Learning \(UDL\)](#) - it can be used at any ability level (Elementary - College)
- Potential for [Culturally Responsive Pedagogy \(CRP\)](#) - Are we using materials that are relevant to OUR students' unique social and cultural backgrounds? Or is our pedagogy central to whiteness?
- Teach with questions/discussion
- Allow for messiness! This will take a while, resist the urge to "just tell them"
- Expect the unexpected - leave space for students to alter the course of the lesson. Go with the flow, don't control everything!
- Trust your students - they know more than you think. They don't need AP theory to compose music

# THE LESSON PLAN

[CLICK HERE FOR THE TEMPLATE](#)

## 1. Topic & Concept

- What do you want to teach?
- What do I want my students to know or to better understand?

Dynamic Contrast	Texture	Story-Telling	Interpretation
Timbre	<i>In the style of _____</i>	Free Rhythm	Intervals
Motivic Development	Ostinato	Phrasing	Articulation
Compound Meter	Pentatonic Scale	Blues Scales	Bowing
Extended Techniques	Balance	Greek Modes	Cadences

## 2. Initial Stimulus

- What will I give the students as a stimulus for discussion?
- Audio/Visual Stimuli such as:

	Example #1	Example #2
<b>Timbre</b>	Soundscape	Foley Art
<b>Story-Telling</b>	Photo/Painting	Haiku
<b>Circle of 5ths</b>	Diagram	Audio example (circle progression)
<b>Ostinato</b>	20th C. Composers	Concert Repertoire Examples
<b>Motivic Development</b>	Theme & Variations Excerpts	Concert Repertoire Examples

## 3. Discussion

- Encourage active engagement!
- Document EVERYTHING (you will use it later)
- What questions will I ask?



- Avoid language such as: use only 5 notes, or use only 8 measures

## 4. Personal Exploration

- Individual exploration (maybe 3-5 minutes)
  - If time permits, allow students to explore on their own first
  - When they join their groups, they should have some concrete ideas
  - Ask some students share their individual ideas with the whole class before breaking into groups
- Ask students to model on their instrument what they think \_\_\_\_\_ might sound like
  - You mentioned excitement, what does excitement sound like?
  - What is the motive in this piece, who can play it?
- Finally, clearly tie in the lesson concept and questions from steps 1-3,

EXAMPLE #1 [Photo] - here are some possible directions for this step:

- How could we make the sounds of the swings?
- Which mode is “yellow”?
- What does laughter sound like on our instrument?
- Come up with a rhythmic ostinato that represents the girls
- Play the buildings and the sky (background)
- How do we play wind?
- Mom is calling the girls inside - what does that sound like?

EXAMPLE #2 [Mozart] - here are some possible directions for this step:

- Choose one of the feelings we listed - write a short melodic gesture for that feeling.
- Point to someone else that has a contrasting gesture to yours.
  - Creating time in small groups (20+ minutes)
    - Form small groups of 4-5 students, I recommended that you choose groups randomly (draw numbers)
  - Students will ALWAYS want more time, remember Parkinson’s law: “work expands as to fill the available time for its completion”
  - The first few times I did this assignment, I allowed 40-60 minutes of creating time

## 5. Assignment Procedures

A checklist for planning:

- Groups? 4-5 students? [recommended]
- Randomly Select Groups? [recommended]
- “Create in the style of \_\_\_\_\_” [bring it back to your concept]
- Establish parameters (UDL)
- SEL & CRP [student-centered?]
- Variety in products?
- Notation? [Sketches?]
- How will they present their work?
  
- In-class performance
  - Possibly a “rehearsed improvisation” - notation not required
  - If your topic permits - ask students to lead a “jam session” involving all students with rotating student conductors (get creative!)
  - “Sketches” are ideal (traditional or nontraditional notation)
  - With limited time, I would avoid requiring a fully notated score/parts
  - Challenges with group work - democracy (shy students/ or alphas)
    - You know your students best
    - Suggestion for accountability: a post-evaluation where groups members can rate the participation of other group members
- Parameters: Best Practices
  - Set minimums or use “at least”
    1. “at least 30 seconds long”
    2. “at least 8 measures”
  - Avoid limitations and only:
    1. “only use 5 notes”
    2. “only use 8 measures”
- UDL (Universal Design for Learning)
  - More Novice = More Tools
  - Provide options/guardrails/scaffolds
  - Allow for “coloring outside the lines”
- Establishing a Safe Environment!
  - This will be messy
  - Teacher Availability
  - 20 - 30 minutes of creating time
    - Students will ALWAYS want more time!
    - Parkinson’s Law: “work expands so as to fill the time available for its completion”

## 6. Document Student Work

- Decide how students will present/prepare
  - Live is probably best (round-robin)

- Student-led jam session?
- Gallery Walk - Padlet or Google Slides?
- Soundtrap or Band Lab
- Flipgrid
- Breakout Rooms
- Tik Tok
- Spotify
- How can students utilize technology that THEY know?

## 7. Analysis & Debrief

- Return the class to discussion mode where the teacher is the facilitator
- Play each recording, stopping after each to reflect as a class
  - Open up discussion to peers first, let them describe what they heard
  - Peers may want to guess the composers' intentions
  - If they arrive at an alternate interpretation that is fine! Also - you now have more to discuss! (What led you to that conclusion?)
  - Allow composers to discuss their process and their intentions, and describe how they addressed the assignment
- Discussion Round 1 - Ideas for facilitating peer debrief:
  - What surprised you?
  - What did you like?
  - How did they address the [springboard topic]?
  - What do you think their intentions were?
  - What elements of [springboard topic] did you hear?
- Discussion Round 2 - Ideas for facilitating composers' self reflection:
  - What surprised you about the process?
  - What did you like/what didn't you like?
  - What might you do differently?
  - Did your classmates understand your intentions? Why or why not?

## Assessment

- Develop a rubric that addresses your open-ended question (STEP 2)
- It is advisable to avoid judging creativity (this is very subjective!)
- Possibly develop a written reflection to administer immediately after your final reflective analysis (STEP 7)

## Resources

- Allsup, R. & Baxter, M. (2004). Talking about music: Better questions? Better discussions!. *Music Educators Journal*. 91(2), 29-33.
- Bailin, S. (2015). Developing creativity through critical inquiry. *Teachers College Record*. 117(10).
- Berkeley Graduate Student Instructor Teaching & Resource Center (2020). *Social Constructivism*. Retrieved from <https://gsi.berkeley.edu/gsi-guide-contents/learning-theory-research/social-constructivism/>
- Burland, K. & Davidson, J. (2001). Investigating social processes in group musical composition. *Research Studies in Music Education*. 16, 46-56.
- Edgar, Scott. (2017). [\*Music Education and Social Emotional Learning: The Heart of Teaching Music\*](#). Chicago, IL: GIA Publications.
- The Advocate (2016). *What is Culturally Responsive Pedagogy?* Retrieved from <https://www.theadvocate.org/what-is-culturally-responsive-pedagogy/>
- Fleischmann, M. (2019). Teaching composition in ensemble classes *The Instrumentalist*, 73(8), 12-16.
- Fleischmann, M. P. (2020). Making room for the creating process in southern California high school large ensemble settings: A participatory action research study (Order No. 27739056). Available from ProQuest Dissertations & Theses Global. (2359501552).
- Hickey, M. (2012). [\*Music Outside the Lines: Ideas for Composing in K-12 Music Classrooms\*](#). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Jones, S. (2015). An exploration of band students' experiences with informal learning. *Bulletin of the Council for Research in Music Education*. 206, 61-79.
- Kastner, J. (2014). Exploring informal music learning in a professional development community of music teachers. *Bulletin of the Council for Research in Music Education*. 202, 71-89.
- Kokotsaki, D. (2011). Student teachers' conceptions of creativity in the secondary music classroom. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*. 6, 100-113.
- Kokotsaki, D. (2012). Pre-service student-teachers' conceptions of creativity in the primary music classroom. *Research Studies in Music Education*. 34(2), 129-156.
- Koops, A. & Whitner, J. (2020). [\*Composition Concepts for Band and Orchestra: Incorporating Creativity in Ensemble Settings\*](#). Lanham, VA: Rowman and Littlefield.
- Luce, D. W. (2001). Collaborative Learning in Music Education: A Review of the Literature. *Update: Applications of Research in Music Education*, 19(2), 20–25.



Fleischmann, M. 2021. A new paradigm for music education: Inspiring creativity in a post-COVID world. [Webinar] CASMEC Virtual Conference. February 19, 2021.

- Robinson, N. Bell, C., & Pogonowski, L. (2011). The creative music strategy: A seven-step instructional model. *Music Educators Journal*. 97(3), 50-55.
- Riverside County of Education. (2020). *The California Arts Standards for Music*. Retrieved from <https://www.rcoe.us/educational-services/files/2020/05/Music-Standards.pdf>.
- Sawyer, K. (2015). A call to action: The challenges of creative teaching and learning. *Teachers College Record*. 117(10).
- Tobin, K. (1987). The role of wait time in higher cognitive learning. *Review of Educational Research*. 57(1), 69-95.
- Understood.org (2010). *What is Universal Design for Learning (UDL)?*. Retrieved from <https://www.understood.org/en/learning-thinking-differences/treatments-approaches/educational-strategies/universal-design-for-learning-what-it-is-and-how-it-works>
- Webster, P. (2016). Creative thinking in music, twenty-five years on. *Music Educators Journal*. 102(3), 26-32.
- The Peak Performance Center. (2020). *Bloom's Taxonomy - Revised*. Retrieved from <https://thepeakperformancecenter.com/educational-learning/thinking/blooms-taxonomy/blooms-taxonomy-revised/>